

Meta-Analysis on the Next-Morning Effects of Hypnotic Drugs on Psychomotor Speed and Motor Control in Healthy Subjects

Verster JC^{1,2}, Bouwmeester NH¹, van de Loo AJAE¹, Peters LV¹, Tiplady B³, Alford C⁴, Roth T⁵

Division of Pharmacology, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands, 2. Centre for Human Psychopharmacology, Swinburne University, Melbourne,
 Australia, 3. Northumbria University, Newcastle, U.K., 4. University of the West of England, Bristol, U.K.
Henry Ford Health System, Detroit, MI, U.S.A.

Background

Mixed results have been reported on the effects of hypnotic drugs taken at bedtime on next-morning psychomotor functioning. The purpose of the current meta-analyses was to examine the effect of hypnotic drugs on next-day psychomotor speed and motor control.

Methods

N=33.969 potentially relevant articles were identified by searching Pubmed, Embase, PsycInfo, Scopus, Web of Science, and Cochrane. Studies were included if they assessed next-morning effects on psychomotor speed or motor control after bedtime administration of recommended dosages of hypnotic drugs. Studies had to be double-blind, placebo-controlled, conducted in healthy subjects, and provide sufficient data. Separate analyses were conducted for adults (18-65 years old) and elderly (≥ 65 years old).

Study name	Statistics for each study								Std diff in means and 95% CI			
	Std diff in means	Standard error	Variance	Lower limit		Z-Value	p-Value					
Katayose 2012	0,041	0,302	0,091	-0,550	0,632	0,136	0,892	1	-	-	-	
3oyle 2009	0,368	0,149	0,022	0,075	0,661	2,461	0,014			-	_	
Boyle 2008	0,048	0,250	0,063	-0,442	0,538	0,192	0,848			-	-	
Otmani 2008	1,497	0,400	0,160	0,713	2,281	3,742	0,000				-	+->
to 2007	0,338	0,504	0,254	-0,649	1,325	0,672	0,502		-			
3lin 2006	-0,007	0,333	0,111	-0,660	0,647	-0,020	0,984		-	_	-	
Meléndez 2005	0,083	0,302	0,091	-0,508	0,674	0,275	0,783		.		_	
udice 2002	0,143	0,409	0,167	-0,659	0,944	0,349	0,727		_			
/ermeeren 2002	2 -0,133	0,258	0,067	-0,639	0,374	-0,514	0,607		-			
/luroaka 1992	0,343	0,504	0,254	-0,644	1,330	0,681	0,496		_			
Tafti 1992	0,299	0,503	0,253	-0,687	1,284	0,594	0,553		-			
Ove 1989	-0,039	0,447	0,200	-0,916	0,837	-0,088	0,930			_		
Bourin 1987	0,264	0,335	0,112	-0,392	0,920	0,788	0,431			\rightarrow		
Godtilbsen 1986	0,034	0,408	0,167	-0,767	0,834	0,082	0,935		_	_		
Veldkamp 1974	3,355	1,591	2,530	0,238	6,472	2,109	0,035			_		\rightarrow
	0,227	0,081	0,007		0,387	2,791	0,005			-		
								-2,00	-1,00	0,00	1,00	2,0
								lmt	roveme	ent In	npairm	ent

Psychomotor speed - hypnotics in healthy subjects

Results

In adults, fifteen studies assessing next-morning psychomotor speed and five studies assessing next-morning motor control were included in the meta-analyses. The analyses revealed that next-morning psychomotor speed was significantly impaired (ES = 0.227, p=0.005; 95%CI: 0.068 to 0.387), whereas next-morning motor control was not significantly impaired (ES = -0.053, p=0.781; 95%CI: -0.426 to 0.320).

In elderly, six studies assessing next-morning psychomotor speed, and three studies assessing next-morning motor control were included in the meta-analyses. The analyses revealed that in elderly both next-morning psychomotor speed (ES = -0.082, p=0.546; 95%CI: -0.347 to 0.184) and motor control (ES = -0.161, p=0.356; 95%CI: -0.501 to 0.180) were not significantly impaired.

Study name		_	Statistics f	or each			Std diff in means and 95% C			
	Std diff in means	Standard error	Variance	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-Value	p-Value			
Morgan 2010	0,037	0,408	0,167	-0,763	0,837	0,090	0,928			
Atkinson 2001	0,069	0,408	0,167	-0,732	0,869	0,168	0,867			
Grobler 2000	0,000	0,408	0,167	-0,800	0,800	0,000	1,000			
Muroaka 1992	-0,547	0,465	0,216	-1,458	0,363	-1,178	0,239			
Pishkin 1980	0,089	0,447	0,200	-0,788	0,966	0,200	0,842			
	-0,053	0,190	0,036	-0,426	0,320	-0,278	0,781	-		
								-2,00 -1,00 0,00 1,00		

Motor control/performance - hypnotics in healthy subjects

Conclusion

Next-morning psychomotor speed was significantly impaired in healthy adults, but not in elderly. Motor control was not significantly impaired in both adults and elderly. Additional analyses should examine to what extent these findings translate to more complex behavior such as driving a car.